

## George Street, Careel Bay Foreshore Improvement (Western Portion)

## SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

Item	Submission	Opinions and Suggestions	Council Review and Action
		- key points	
<b>1.00 Resident - George St</b>			
1.01		-garbage from boat owners end up in residential bins	-bins not included due to maintenance issues
1.02		-signage required to resist large vehicle travel at end of road	-signage to be reviewed for inclusion
1.03		-increased use for kayak and paddle boards, increasing parking issues	-parking limited within western portion
1.04		-some parking spaces near dinghy storage area should be time limited for 'drop-off'	-'drop-off' zones to be reviewed for inclusion
<b>2.00 Resident - Avalon</b>			
2.01		-dinghies are messy on foreshore and proposed dinghy racks are opportunity to tidy the foreshore	-included in masterplan
2.02		-12 hour parking limit on George St	-to be reviewed based of traffic management
2.03		-path and ramp access to beach/water great	-included in masterplan
<b>3.00 Resident - Avalon</b>			
3.01		-suggest tree planting seaward side of path	-planting outside is considered to provide a visual softening along the roadway
3.02		-need for increased parking numbers	-not possible within western portion
3.03		-need for unloading area with time limit	-'drop-off' zones to be reviewed for inclusion
3.04		-instal speed humps on road to reduce traffic speed	-to be reviewed based on traffic management
3.05		-suggest re-align proposed dinghy ramp	-included in the amended plan
3.06		-measures to prevent car access to dinghy ramp	-to be included in masterplan
3.07		-suggested path surface finish as hard material for pram and wheelchair access	-'soft' deco granite footpath is suitable for all-access
3.08		-extend path to include footpath further along George St	-not part of proposed masterplan area
3.09		-suggest 'coreten' sheet piling capped insitu concrete beam walling	-walling design to be in co-ordination with NSW Department of Primary Industries guidelines
3.10		-use of boulders as a sea wall not favoured	-as above
3.11		-higher level of path and road should sea levels change	-not feasible transition to private driveways
3.12		-area to remain for broad public use	-no change intended
3.13		-suggests roundabout at end of George St	-not feasible without major land reclaim
<b>4.00 Resident - Therry St</b>			
4.01		-area should be functional & workable to allow swimming, boating, canoeing, sailing and small boat use	-intended in masterplan
4.02		-provide facilities for delivery of equipment for moored craft etc.	-1 x 15min carspace allowed in masterplan
4.03		-steps to beach should incorporate a side ramp to slide the dinghies, canoes etc.	-included in masterplan

item	Submission	Opinions and Suggestions	Council Review and Action
		- key points	
5.00	Resident - Careel Bay Cres		
5.01		-expand works covered by masterplan to include access road to public wharf, and parking and path to Therry St	-not part of proposed masterplan area
5.02		-safety issues with stone boulders at base of wall	-stone boulders currently exist -walling design to be in co-ordination with NSW Department of Primary Industries guidelines
5.03		-suggests need for barriers to prevent car and trailer access to dinghy ramp	-access to dinghy ramp to be foot traffic only
5.04		-notes orientation of boat ramp conflict with boat tie-ups -pedestrian access along r.o.w. between No. 27 and No. 29 Careel Bay Crescent has safety issues with concrete apron installed by Sydney Water always wet	-alignment of ramp to be re-aligned
6.00	Resident - Elvina Ave		
6.01		"I fully support the upgrade ... "	-noted
7.00	Resident - George St		
7.01		-objects to any infilling of Careel Bay	-plan considers nil impact to bay environment
7.02		-proposal may exacerbate the traffic and parking problems by encouraging more vehicles	-bay is for use of broad community including water based users and their requirements
7.03		-unmodified natural foreshore areas look wonderful even if lined with dinghys	-foreshore assessed as visually impacted and foreshore embankment is eroding
8.00	unknown		
8.01		-loves that Careel Bay is unspoilt	-noted
8.02		"your changes will completely civilize what is one of the last undeveloped spots"	-noted
8.03		-suggests only change should be ramp to launch small boats	-need to provide broad amenity and facilities
9.00	Resident - Avalon		
9.01		-unused boat trailers are a real problem	-noted
9.02		-would appreciate a dinghy rack near launching ramp	-noted
9.03		-noted that there has never been mangroves near the truning circle in George St	-noted and issue not raised in meeting
10.00			
10.01	Resident - George St	-no safe pedestrian access for any significant length of George St	-included in western portion of masterplan
10.02		-footpath and kerb essential	-kerb included in masterplan

item	Submission	Opinions and Suggestions	Council Review and Action
		- key points	
11.00	Resident - Careel Bay Cres		
11.01		-rather the area left untouched	-noted
11.02		-elderly will have difficulties with access and suggests dinghy storage be below the planned path/wall at sand level	-area below planned path/wall subject to tidal fluctuations and therefor unsuitable
11.03		-suggests roundabout at north end of George St	-not feasible without major land reclaim
11.04		-lack of parking is main concern	-parking numbers restricted by land availability
12.00	Resident		
12.01		-gross overdevelopment of site	-expanded road and parking alignment required to comply with RMS compliance guidelines. Additional proposals are recreational in nature and thereby a likely benefit for the broad community
12.02		-repair of rock wall appropriate	-included in masterplan
12.03		-perhaps dinghy storage appropriate	-included in masterplan
12.04		-opposed to use of funds for this plan	-noted
13.00	Resident - Patrick St		
13.01		-pedestrian path should continue further east to Barrenjoey Rd	-not part of proposed masterplan area
14.00	Resident - Elvina Ave		
14.01		-"we fully endorse the Council's plan to improve the foreshore "	-noted
14.02		-suggest that boulders against sea wall a hazard for access to sand - preferred wall only	-stone boulders currently exist
14.03		-suggest turning circle at end of George St	-not feasible without major land reclaim
14.04		-suggest extension of path along George St	-not part of proposed masterplan area
15.00	Resident - Avalon		
15.01		-loss of carparking spaces by tree planting	-Council aim to provide a balance between landscape amenity and facilities
15.02		-safety issues with sandstone boulders along wall	-stone boulders currently exist
15.03		-lack of turning circle at wharf for large vehicles	-feasible with major civil works required - not part of this masterplan
16.00	Resident - George St		
16.01		-"very much in favour"	-noted
17.00	Resident - Avalon		
17.01		-"in full support of the improvements"	-noted
		-"we feel this will benefit the local community"	-noted

item	Submission	Opinions and Suggestions	Council Review and Action
		- key points	
18.00	Resident - Elanora Hgts		
18.01		-need for access to water by other crafts ie. canoes, rubber duckies, kayaks	-included in masterplan with ramp near stairs
18.02		-need to allow planned 2.5m ramp access to cater for other water crafts	-included 2.5m width
19.00	CBPPA	Careel Bay Pittwater Protection Association	
		-28 members in support	
19.01		-"CBPPA stongly supports the proposal"	-noted
19.02		-existing sea wall is dangerous	-noted
19.03		-prefer sandstone walling without stone boulders	-noted
			-walling design to be in co-ordination with NSW Department of Primary Industries guidelines
19.04		-a safe footpath is needed between marina and Therry St	-not part of proposed masterplan area
19.05		-reduce traffic speed to 20kph	-George St is RMS classified Local Rd with 50kmph speed limit
19.06		-existing road surface and edges are unsafe	-included in masterplan
19.07		-turning circle at wharf suggested	-feasible with major civil works required - not part of this masterplan
19.08		-traffic signs required to prohibit larger vehicles into George St west	-to be reviewed based on traffic management
20.00	Resident - Bilgola Plateau		
20.01		-"a brillant concept"	-noted
21.00	Resident - George St		
21.01		-suggest sandstone wall safer sea wall than boulders	-noted
21.02		-relocate power lines underground	-not part of proposed masterplan
21.03		-import sand to beautify the area	-likely to be washed away at each high tide
21.04		-encourages sale of land	-noted
21.05		-separating cars and pedestrians (onto path) is very important	-included in proposed masterplan
22.00	Resident - George St		
22.01		-continued pedestrian access along George St requested	-not part of proposed masterplan area
22.02		-footpath and kerb absolutely crucial	-included in proposed masterplan
23.00	Resident - Bayview		
23.01		-"agree with the proposed plan for the upgrade"	-noted
23.02		-suggests 5 day parking limit	-noted

item	Submission	Opinions and Suggestions	Council Review and Action
		- key points	
24.00	Resident - Avalon		
24.01		-larger water crafts over 3m not catered for in dinghy racks	-plan to consider options for tie-ups
24.02		-need a 'tie-up' facility for such crafts	-noted
25.00	Resident - Avalon		
25.02		-"in favour of the proposed plan"	-noted
25.02		-Council should fund future stages and not rely on selling land	-noted
26.00	Avalon Preservation Trust		
26.01		-"generally support the idea to upgrade the George St foreshore"	-noted
26.02		-careful attention since works are on reclaimed land and will have significant impact on sensitive mud flats area	-proposed impact considered minimal
26.03		-encourage Council to expand the study area to include public wharf and linkages east along George St	-noted proposed project identified as western portion
26.04		-would like to be convinced of merit of design for following points:	
26.04a		-kerb and gutter alternatives	-kerb required to provide safe barrier between motor vehicles and pedestrians
26.04b		-impervious surfacing alternatives	-spatial areas are confined to road pavement unsuited to impervious surface -impervious path included in proposal -large impervious grass areas are included in proposal
26.04c		-water sensitive urban design to detain surface water	-'soft' pervious areas provided by deco granite paths and by recreational gardens and turf areas
26.04d		-raised lawn on reclaimed land at the sacrifice of mud flats	-dinghy storage area and associated landscape area as approved by NSW Department of Primary Industries
26.04e		-ramp is excessive in size	-size as required to service function
26.04f		-storage should also cater for kayaks	-majority need for dinghy storage in Bay -racks designed for small water crafts
26.04g		-structural wall to incorporate habitat	-principle included in masterplan
26.04h		-additional amenities ie. footbath, tap rinsing, bicycle racks	-noted for inclusion when future funding available
26.04i		-include interpretive and educational signage	-not in proposed masterplan proposal